

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,242	11/09/2001	Michelle Lu	680.0043USU	9256
7590 08/11/2005			EXAMINER	
Charles N.J. Ruggiero, Esq.			GHALI, ISIS A D	
Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P. 10th Floor One Landmark Square Stamford, CT 06901-2682			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				TAI EN NOMBER
			1615	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-			
Office Action Summary		10/040,242	LU ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Isis Ghali	1615				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro , cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communicati NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	lune 2005 .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa- closed in accordance with the practice under			is			
· -	tion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 19-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
د، ر	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11,12 and 19-24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-10,13-16 and 25-29</u> is/are rejected.						
_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
8)Ll Applicat	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o ion Papers	r election requirement.	•				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accept		aminer				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		• •				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	·	•				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	•				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* 9	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list.	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			tion)			
a	a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been re	eceived.				
اسارت		ic priority under 30 U.S.C. 99 12	LU ANU/UI 121.				
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	al Patent Application (PTO-152)	•			

DETAILED ACTION

The receipt is acknowledged of applicants' request for extension of time, filed 06/20/2005; and amendment, filed 06/27/2005.

Claims 17 and 18 have been canceled.

This application contains claims 11, 12, 19-24 drawn to nonelected invention. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claims 1-10, 13-16, and 25-29 are included in the prosecution.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. Claims 1, 8-10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 2002/0071878 ('878).

US '878 disclosed a topical composition comprising cultured seed cells obtained from cucumber and carrot that used in cosmetic and dermatological compositions (abstract; page 3, 0029-0031, page 4, table 2; page 5, 0038, 0039; page 10, 0137; page 11, 0143, 0144; page 17, claim 10). The compositions is in the form of gel, cream and comprises acceptable vehicle and selected from polyethylene glycol, oils, silicone oil, or

Application/Control Number: 10/040,242 Page 3

Art Unit: 1615

fatty acids (page 10-11, 0137). The composition used to treat skin disorders such as hirsutism, reduction of nail growth, hair treatment, inhibition of scar formation (page 18, claim 22).

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 06/27/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants traverse the anticipatory rejection by arguing that US '878 discloses in the examples homogenizing of the bulb cells, and not the seed cells, and homogenization is not in all the examples. Homogenization is disclosed in paragraph 0041 is merely disclosed as one of any number of known means for obtaining the extract. Claims 26-25 are not disclosed by the reference.

In response to applicants' arguments above, the examiner position is that the disclosed examples and preferred embodiment do not constitute a teaching away from a broader disclosure or nonpreferred embodiments. *In re Susi*, 440 F.2d 442, 169 USPQ 423 (CCPA 1971). The reference disclosed the culture of different parts of the plant including seeds, and also disclosed homogenization of the culture that includes any cultured part including the cells. Applicants' attention is drawn to the fact that claims 26-29 are not included in the anticipatory rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 2-7, and 14-18, 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US '878 in view of WO 00/64472 ('472).

The teachings of US '878 are discussed above, however the reference does not teach the amount of the plant seed cells in the composition as claimed in claims 2-5, 7, 15 and 16, the specific plants used in the composition as claimed in claims 6, 14, 25-29, and the specific skin conditions treated by the composition.

WO '472 teaches a method and composition for treating dermatological conditions using fruit extract, with the extract from pomegranate is preferred (abstract). The composition used to treat age spots, reduced skin moisture, deepening of skin lines, blemished skin and impetigo (page 11, lines 7-10). The amount of the fruit extract in the composition ranges from 0.1 to 20 % (page 11 lines 15-16). The extract can be from the seed (page 11, line 24). The composition comprises a vehicle as oils and is in the form of gel or cream (page 12, lines 1-15, page 25, lines 26-30).

According to the intended use and the site of application, one having ordinary skill in the art would manipulate the amount of the cultured seed cells in the composition in order to achieve a beneficial effect, and the claimed amounts do not impart patentability to a composition comprising undifferentiated plant seed cells, absent evidence to the contrary. Also selection of specific seeds is within the skill in the art depending on the specific condition.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the composition comprising cultured plant seed cells in a topical composition to treat skin disorders as disclosed by US '878, and to select the amount of the cultured seed to be incorporated in the composition and the specific plant seeds depending on the treated condition as disclosed by WO '472, motivated by the

teaching of WO '472 that the fruit extracts manage dermatological conditions related to aging, with reasonable expectation of having a topical composition that provides the cultured plant seed cells to the skin nails or hair at the desired amount to a particular location with disorder and in need for the such a treatment with success.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 06/27/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants traverse the rejection of claims 1-10, 13-18, and 25-29 as being unpatentable over US '878 in view of WO '472 by arguing that the combination of the references would not provide the whole invention.

In response to applicants' argument, the examiner position is that WO '472 is relied upon for the solely teaching of the suitability of different seed extracts in cosmetics, therefore, since the art recognized using the cultured cells, then these seeds useful as an extract would be used also as cultured. The rationale to modify the prior art does not have to be expressly stated in the prior art; the rationale may be expressly or impliedly contained in the prior art or it may be reasoned from knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art and the reason to modify the reference may often suggest what the applicant has done. The invention as a whole is disclosed by the references in combination.

The following new ground of rejection is necessitated by applicant's amendment:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 06-298695 ('695).

JP '695 disclosed cultured homogenized undifferentiated seed used in cosmetics. The reference, however, does not teach the broth as a culture media (see the provided translation, abstract; paragraphs 0012-0014).

Applicants failed to show the criticality of using the broth as culture media over any other media.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to have a cosmetic composition comprising cultured homogenized undifferentiated seed cells, and select the culture media that is suitable for intended use of the cultured seeds, with reasonable expectation of having broth as a culture media, since broth is known by its nutritional value.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/040,242 Page 7

Art Unit: 1615

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isis Ghali whose telephone number is (571) 272-0595. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Isis Ghali Examiner Art Unit 1615 IG

THURMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
/TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600